

Uniform rotation

To whom it may concern

Ll. Bel*

December 13, 2011

Abstract

We describe the space-time model of a uniformly rotating frame of reference satisfying the Helmholtz free mobility postulate, as we implemented it in a preceding article, and we discuss the implications of this model as it concerns the problematic of the one way or two ways velocity of light derived from the model and its relationship with the universal constant c .

1 Uniform rotation : the space model

Starting with Minkowski's line-element using Cartesian coordinates¹:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + d\bar{s}^2, \quad c = 1 \quad (1)$$

with:

$$d\bar{s}^2 = \delta_{ij} dx^i dx^j, \quad x^i = x, y, z; \quad i, j, k = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2)$$

let us consider the time-like congruence $\mathcal{C}$:

$$t = t', \quad x = x' \cos \omega t - y' \sin \omega t, \quad y = x' \sin \omega t + y' \cos \omega t, \quad z = z'. \quad (3)$$

defined in the domain:

$$\omega \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2 + z'^2} < 1. \quad (4)$$

Inverting and substituting in (1) we obtain, after dropping the primes, a new line-element that using a Weyl-like decomposition we write down as follows:

$$ds^2 = -A^2(-dt - f_i dx^i)^2 + A^{-2} d\bar{s}^2, \quad (5)$$

where:

$$A = \sqrt{1 - \omega^2 \rho^2}, \quad \rho = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2} \quad (6)$$

*e-mail: wtpbedil@lg.ehu.es

¹Reference [3] contains many contributions to this subject

$$f_1 = A^{-2}\omega y, \quad f_2 = -A^{-2}\omega x, \quad f_3 = 0 \quad (7)$$

and:

$$d\bar{s}^2 = (1 - \omega^2 \rho^2)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2). \quad (8)$$

To give a new meaning to the space-coordinates the recipe that we proposed in [2], adapted to the present case, is to introduce a flat 3-dimensional metric:

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{ij}(x^k)dx^i dx^j \quad (9)$$

such that:

$$(\bar{\Gamma}_{jk}^i - \tilde{\Gamma}_{jk}^i)\bar{g}^{jk} = 0, \quad (10)$$

$\bar{\Gamma}$ and $\tilde{\Gamma}$ being respectively the Christoffel symbols of the 3-dimensional metrics (8) and (9). But since these conditions are invariant under adapted coordinate transformations of space:

$$x' = x'(x, y), \quad y' = y'(x, y), \quad z' = z, \quad (11)$$

we may proceed differently finding those coordinates that are harmonic coordinates of the space metric (8), in which case the line-element of the reference metric will be the Euclidean metric:

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = dx'^2 + dy'^2 + dz'^2 \quad (12)$$

If we look for functions of the following form:

$$x' = h(\rho)x, \quad y' = h(\rho)y, \quad z' = z \quad (13)$$

we find that the appropriate solution is:

$$h = \frac{2}{\omega\rho} \text{Bessel}J(1, \omega\rho) \quad (14)$$

Assuming, as we shall do in the sequel of this paper, that in the domain of space of interest we can neglect those terms of order $(\omega\rho)^3$ or smaller, we approximate h by:

$$h = 1 - \frac{1}{8}\omega^2 \rho^2 \quad (15)$$

Defining now:

$$\rho' = \sqrt{x'^2 + y'^2} \quad (16)$$

and inverting the coordinate transformation (13) we obtain:

$$x = \left(1 + \frac{1}{8}\omega^2 \rho'^2\right)x', \quad y = \left(1 + \frac{1}{8}\omega^2 \rho'^2\right)y' \quad (17)$$

which leads to the transformed line-element:

$$ds^2 = -A'^2(-dt + f'_i dx'^i) + A'^{-2}d\bar{s}^2 \quad (18)$$

where now:

$$\begin{aligned} A' &= \sqrt{1 - \omega^2 \rho'^2}, \\ f'_1 &= \omega y', \quad f'_2 = -\omega x', \quad f'_3 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

and:

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\omega^2(x'^2 - y'^2)\right) dx'^2 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}\omega^2(y'^2 - x'^2)\right) dy'^2 + (1 - \omega^2 \rho'^2) dz'^2 \quad (20)$$

2 The velocity of light

The historical measures of the speed of light were obtained as the quotient $\Delta L/\Delta T$ with separate measures of the distance traveled by a light ray and the time of flight. Or using the formula $\epsilon\mu = c^2$ from separate measures of ϵ , the permittivity of free space, and μ the permeability of free space; a method in which light and its propagation do not come into play and thus proves that c is much more than the speed of light

The value of the universal constant c that now has become a definition, since 1983, was measured in 1972 as the product $\lambda\nu$ of the frequency ν and the wave-length λ of a particular cavity resonance [1], and the value that was obtained by no means depended on any relativistic global space-time model nor synchronization protocol. We discuss below light propagation in the framework of the space model presented in the first section and the simplest of the time models where time is represented by the coordinate t .

Let us start with the general line-element (18), dropping the primes again, and the space-metric of reference (12). Solving for $dt > 0$ the equation $ds^2 = 0$ we have

$$dt = f_i dx^i + A^{-2} \sqrt{d\tilde{s}^2}; \quad (21)$$

dt is therefore the infinitesimal time of flight of a light ray issued from the point where the preceding formula is evaluated. On the other hand the infinitesimal distance traveled by light is in our model $d\tilde{s}$ and therefore it is legitimate to define the one way instantaneous velocity of light $\vec{v}$, where the arrow is meant to remind that is not an scalar but direction dependent, as:

$$\vec{v} = \frac{d\tilde{s}}{dt} \quad (22)$$

where:

$$d\tilde{s} = \sqrt{dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2} \quad (23)$$

Considering also the velocity $\overleftarrow{v}$ for light propagating in the opposite direction we see that the mean instantaneous value is:

$$\langle v \rangle \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\vec{v} + \overleftarrow{v}) = \frac{A^2}{\sqrt{d\tilde{s}^2}} \quad (24)$$

and that:

$$\frac{1}{\langle v \rangle^2} = \frac{d\tilde{s}^2}{A^4} \quad (25)$$

Using (19)-(20) we get at the approximation that we are considering:

$$dt = d\tilde{s}\left(1 + \frac{\omega}{d\tilde{s}}(ydx - xdy)\right) + \frac{\omega^2}{8d\tilde{s}^2}((3x^2 + 5y^2)dx^2 + (3y^2 + 5x^2)dy^2 - 4xydx dy + 4\rho^2 d\tilde{s}^2) \quad (26)$$

Or:

$$\vec{v} = 1 + \omega(y \cos \alpha_1 - x \cos \alpha_2) + \frac{\omega^2}{8}((3x^2 + 5y^2) \cos \alpha_1^2 + (3y^2 + 5x^2) \cos \alpha_2^2 - 4xy \cos \alpha_1 \cos \alpha_2 + 4\rho^2) \quad (27)$$

where $\cos \alpha_i$ are the cosines of the direction of propagation. Notice that the linear part of (27) can be greater, smaller or equal to 1.

On the other hand writing the r-h-s of (25) in cylindrical coordinates we get:

$$\frac{1}{\langle v \rangle^2} = \left(1 + \frac{7}{4}\omega^2 \rho^2\right) \left(\frac{dt}{d\rho}\right)^2 + \left(1 + \frac{9}{4}\omega^2 \rho^2\right) \left(\frac{dt}{\rho d\phi}\right)^2 + (1 + \omega^2) \left(\frac{dt}{dz}\right)^2 \quad (28)$$

wherefrom we see that the principal directions of propagation of $\langle v \rangle$ are along the cylindrical coordinate lines and that the corresponding principal values are:

$$\langle v \rangle_1 = 1 - \frac{7}{8}\omega^2 \rho^2, \quad \langle v \rangle_2 = 1 - \frac{9}{8}\omega^2 \rho^2, \quad \langle v \rangle_3 = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 \rho^2 \quad (29)$$

The three principal velocities are smaller than the universal constant $c = 1$.

Let us consider now a light ray that it is send from a point of coordinates x^i at time t and reaches a point with coordinates x_1^i at times t_1 . To calculate the finite one way mean velocity $\tilde{c} = \Delta t / \Delta \tilde{s}$ where:

$$\Delta \tilde{s} = \sqrt{(x_1 - x)^2 + (y_1 - y)^2 + (z_1 - z)^2} \quad (30)$$

requires to integrate the null geodesic equations:

$$\frac{d^2 x}{du^2} + 2\omega \frac{dy}{du} \frac{dt}{du} + \frac{\omega^2}{4} \left(3x \left(\frac{dx}{du} \right)^2 + x \left(\frac{dy}{du} \right)^2 + 2y \frac{dx}{du} \frac{dy}{du} - x \left(\frac{dt}{du} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \quad (31)$$

$$\frac{d^2 y}{du^2} - 2\omega \frac{dx}{du} \frac{dt}{du} + \frac{\omega^2}{4} \left(3y \left(\frac{dy}{du} \right)^2 + y \left(\frac{dx}{du} \right)^2 + 2x \frac{dx}{du} \frac{dy}{du} - y \left(\frac{dt}{du} \right)^2 \right) = 0 \quad (32)$$

$$\frac{d^2 z}{du^2} = 0, \quad \frac{d^2 t}{du^2} = 0, \quad (33)$$

where u is an affine parameter, that taking into account the last of the above equations can be identified with t .

Assuming as we have been doing that terms of order $(\omega\rho)^3$ can be neglected but assuming now also $(\omega\Delta t)^3$ can be neglected it is a straightforward simple task to obtain the approximate solution:

$$x_1 = x + c_1 \Delta t + \omega \left(-c_2 + \frac{\omega}{8} ((4 - 3c_1^2 - c_2^2)x - 2c_1 c_2 y) \right) \Delta t^2 \quad (34)$$

$$y_1 = y + c_2 \Delta t + \omega \left(c_1 + \frac{\omega}{8} ((4 - 3c_2^2 - c_1^2)x - 2c_1 c_2 x) \right) \Delta t^2 \quad (35)$$

$$z_1 = z_0 + c_3 \Delta t, \quad (36)$$

where we have to require that the tangent vector at the origin x^i is a null vector. Defining:

$$C = \sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2} \quad (37)$$

this leads to the following equation:

$$C = c + \omega(xc_2 - yc_1) - \frac{\omega^2}{8C} ((3c_1^2 + 5c_2^2)x^2 + (3c_2^2 + 5c_1^2)y^2 - 2c_1 c_2 xy + 4\rho^2) \quad (38)$$

Using (34)-(36) a straightforward calculation then yields:

$$\Delta \tilde{s} = \sqrt{c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2} \Delta t \quad (39)$$

wherefrom, using (38), we obtain:

$$\tilde{v} = 1 + \omega(y \cos \beta_1 - x \cos \beta_2) + \frac{\omega^2}{8} ((3x^2 + 5y^2) \cos \beta_1^2 + (3y^2 + 5x^2) \cos \beta_2^2 - 4xy \cos \beta_1 \cos \beta_2 + 4\rho^2) \quad (40)$$

where $\cos \beta_i = c_i/C$. Keep in mind however that a real life application of the preceding result still needs the algebraic determination of Δt and c_i using (34)-(36) and (38).

3 Time models

t is still the same time coordinate we started with and there are good reasons to keeping it this way as follows from these three properties: i) t is adapted to the stationary space-time model; ii) t is still harmonic, i.e. with obvious notations:

$$\Gamma_{\alpha\beta}^0 g^{\alpha\beta} = 0; \quad (41)$$

and iii) the time synchronization that it describes is of the chorodesic type,[2], centered at the axis of rotation. This meaning in this particular case that:

$$f_i \sim 0, \quad \frac{\partial^2 f_k}{\partial x^i \partial x^j} \sim 0 \quad (42)$$

holds on the axis of symmetry.

On the other hand these three properties do not fix the synchronization. let us consider another time variable defined by:

$$t' = t + \omega\psi(x, y, z) \quad (43)$$

so that after this coordinate transformation the line-element of the model is again time independent. Taking into account that t and x^i are harmonic coordinates it follows that:

$$\Gamma^{0'} \equiv \Gamma_{\alpha'\beta'}^{0'} g^{\alpha'\beta'} = -\omega \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} g^{\alpha\beta}, \quad x^0 = t \quad (44)$$

and we get then at the approximation that we are considering:

$$\Gamma^{0'} = -\omega \left(\frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial z^2} \right) \quad (45)$$

from where we conclude, k being an arbitrary number, that:

$$t' = t + k\omega(x^2 + y^2 - 2z^2) \quad (46)$$

is an adapted harmonic time that spreads out with axial symmetry.

In this new time model the geometrical elements of the line-element are:

$$A' = A, \quad d\bar{s}'^2 = d\bar{s}^2, \quad (47)$$

and:

$$f'_1 = \omega(y + kx), \quad f'_2 = -\omega(x - kx), \quad f'_3 = \omega kz, \quad (48)$$

This f'_i transformations very much affect the one way velocity of light (27) and (40) but, as we see from (28) and (??), do not affect the mean velocity $\langle v \rangle$.

Taking into account that if ω is the angular velocity of the Earth and R is its radius then we have that $(\omega R)^2 \approx 2.4 \cdot 10^{-12}$, can we be sure that was measured in [1] was the value of the universal constant c ?

References

- [1] K. M. Evenson, J. S. Wells, F. R. Petersen, B. L. Danielson, R. L. Barger and J. L. Hall, Phys. Rev. Letters, **29**, N. 19, 1346 (1972)
- [2] Ll. Bel, arXiv:1103.3767v2 [gr-qc]
- [3] *Relativity in Rotating Frames*, eds. G. Rizzi and M. L. Ruggiero, Kluwer Academic Publishers, (2004);